arXiv:1411.7743v5 [math.AP] 27 Apr 2015

REGULARITY OF PULLBACK ATTRACTORS FOR NON-AUTONOMOUS STOCHASTIC FITZHUGH-NAGUMO SYSTEMS WITH ADDITIVE NOISES ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

WENQIANG ZHAO

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the existences of pullback attractors in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for stochastic Fitzhugh-Nagumo system driven by both additive noises and deterministic non-autonomous forcings. The nonlinearity is polynomial like growth with exponent $p-1$. The asymptotic compactness for the cocycle in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is proved by using asymptotic a priori method, where the plus and minus signs of the nonlinearity at large value are not required.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the regularity of pullback attractors for the following non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system defined on $\mathbb{R}^N$ perturbed by additive noises:

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{u} + (\lambda\tilde{u} - \Delta\tilde{u} + \alpha\tilde{v})dt = f(x,\tilde{u})dt + g(t,x)dt + h_1 d\omega_1(t), \\ d\tilde{v} + (\sigma\tilde{v} - \beta\tilde{u})dt = h(t,x)dt + h_2 d\omega_2(t), \\ \tilde{u}(x,\tau) = \tilde{u}_0(x), \\ \tilde{v}(x,\tau) = \tilde{v}_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where the initial condition $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the coefficients $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \sigma$ are positive constants, h_1 and h_2 are given functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying some regular conditions, the non-autonomous terms $g, h \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$, the nonlinearity f is a smooth function satisfying some polynomial growth with exponent $p-1, p > 2$ and $\omega(t) = (\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))$ is a Wiener process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, which will be specified later.

The deterministic FitzHugh-Nagumo system is an important mathematical model to describe the signal transmission across axons in neurobiology, which is well studied in the literature, see, e.g., [20, 8, 13, 18, 22, 19]. In the stochastic case, Wang [23] proved the existence and uniqueness of random attractors in the initial space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for the autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system. For the general non-autonomous forcings g and h, Adili and Wang [1, 2] obtained the pullback attractors in the initial space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and Bao [4] strengthened this result and proved the regularity of pullback attractors in the non-initial space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Recently, [12, 10, 11] studied the existences of random attractors for stochastic lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo system

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H15, 35R60, 35B40, 35B41.

Key words and phrases. Random dynamical systems; non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo systems; upper semi-continuity; $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor; multiplicative noise.

However, to our knowledge, there are no literature to investigate the high-order integrability of solutions of problem (1.1), even for the deterministic case.

In this paper, we prove that the problem (1.1) admits a unique pullback attractor in the non-initial space $L^{\varpi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varpi \in (2, p]$, with the functions f, g and h satisfying almost the same conditions as in [2]. Actually, we show that the obtained pullback attractors in a family of spaces $L^{\varpi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)(\varpi \in (2, p])$ are the same object as in the initial space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Furthermore, it is compact and attracts every nonempty bounded subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the topology of $L^{\varpi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)(\varpi \in (2, p])$. The asymptotic compactness in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for the cocycle is proved by using asymptotic a priori method which is developed by [29]. Because of the interactions of the variables in the system (1.1), proving that the unbounded part of solutions vanishes in L^p norm is a more technical task. We prove this in a relatively simple way just using asymptotic a priori method, in which the plus and minus signs of the nonlinearity at large value are not required, comparing with some similar work as in [14, 28, 17, 25].

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we recall some preliminaries required for our further discussions and then establish an abstract result regarding the existence of pullback attractors in some non-initial spaces, which can be applicable to stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) with both random noise and a deterministic non-autonomous term. In Section 3, we give the assumptions on g, h and f and define a continuous cocycle for problem (1.1). Finally we prove the existences of pullback attractors in $L^{\varpi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries and abstract results

Let $(X, \|.\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|.\|_Y)$ be two complete separable Banach spaces with Borel sigma-algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}(Y)$, respectively. For convenience, we call X *the initial space* (contains all initial data of a SPDE) and Y *the associated non-initial space* (usually the regular solutions (of a SPDE) located space).

In this section, we give a sufficient standard for the existence of pullback attractors in the non-initial space Y for the random dynamical system (RDS) over two parametric spaces. For the existence of random attractors in the non-initial space Y for the RDS over one parametric space, the readers may refer to [26, 27, 28, 17, 14, 15, 16, 25].

We also mention that regarding the existence of random attractors in the initial space X for the RDS over one parametric space, the good references are [3, 5, 6, 21, 9, 7]. However, here we recall from [24] some basic notions regarding RDS over two parametric spaces. Let Ω_1 be a nonempty set on which there is a mapping $\vartheta_{1,t} : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_1$ such that $\vartheta_{1,0}$ is the identity on Ω_1 and $\vartheta_{1,s+t} = \vartheta_{1,t} \circ \vartheta_{1,s}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P)$ be a probability space on which there is a measure preserving transformation $\vartheta_{2,t}$ such that $\vartheta_{2,0}$ is the identity on Ω_2 and $\vartheta_{2,s+t} = \vartheta_{2,t} \circ \vartheta_{2,s}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We often use $(\Omega_1, \{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\vartheta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ to denote the two parametric spaces respectively.

A mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times X \mapsto X$ is called a cocycle on X over $(\Omega_1, \{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\vartheta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ if for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\varphi(., \omega_1, ., .) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_2 \times X \mapsto X$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathcal{F}_2 \times \mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{B}(X))$-measurable,

(ii) $\varphi(0, \omega_1, \omega_2, .)$ is the identity on X,

(iii) $\varphi(t+s,\omega_1,\omega_2,.)=\varphi(t,\vartheta_{1,s}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,s}\omega_2,.)\circ\varphi(s,\omega_1,\omega_2,.)$.
In addition, if $\varphi(t,\omega_1,\omega_2,.):X\to X$ is continuous for every $t\in\mathbb{R}^+,\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2$, then φ is called a continuous cocycle on X over $(\Omega_1,\{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{F}_2,P,\{\vartheta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$.

A set-valued mapping $K:\Omega_1\times\Omega_2\mapsto 2^X$ is called measurable in X with respect to $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2 if the mapping $\omega_2\in\Omega_2\mapsto \mathrm{dist}_X(x,K(\omega_1,\omega_2))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_2,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$-measurable for every fixed $x\in X$ and $\omega_1\in\Omega_1$, where dist_X is the Haustorff semi-metric in X. And furthermore if the value $K(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is a closed nonempty subset of X for every $\omega_1\in\Omega_1$ and $\omega_2\in\Omega_2$ then $\{K(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2\}$ is called a closed measurable subset of X with respect to $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2.

In the sequel, we assume that the cocycle φ together with X and Y satisfy the following

(H1) *For every fixed $t>0,\omega_1\in\Omega_1$ and $\omega_2\in\Omega_2$, $\varphi(t,\omega_1,\omega_2,.):X\mapsto Y$.*

We always assume that φ is a continuous cocycle on the initial space X over $(\Omega_1,\{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{F}_2,P,\{\vartheta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$, and $\mathcal{D}$ a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X parametrized by $\omega_1\in\Omega_1$ and $\omega_2\in\Omega_2$, *i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{D}=\{D=\{D(\omega_1,\omega_2)\in 2^X;D(\omega_1,\omega_2)\neq\emptyset,\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2\};f_D \text{ satisfies some conditions}\}.$$

Let D_1 and D_2 belong to $\mathcal{D}$. We say $D_1=D_2$ if and only if $D_1(\omega_1,\omega_2)=D_2(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ for all $\omega_1\in\Omega_1$ and $\omega_2\in\Omega_2$. Note that for our problem the continuity of the cocycle φ in the non-initial space Y is not necessary.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X. $\{K(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2\}\in\mathcal{D}$ is called a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set for a cocycle φ in X if for every $\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2$ and $D\in\mathcal{D}$, there exists a absorbing time $T=T(\omega_1,\omega_2,D)>0$ such that*

$$\varphi(t,\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2,D(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2))\subseteq K(\omega_2,\omega_2)\qquad \text{for all } t\geq T.$$

Definition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X. A cocycle φ is said to be $\mathcal{D}$-pullback asymptotically compact in X (resp. in Y) if for every $\omega_1\in\Omega_1$ and $\omega_2\in\Omega_2$,*

$$\{\varphi(t_n,\vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2,x_n)\} \text{ has a convergent subsequence in } X(\text{resp. in } Y)$$

whenever $t_n\to\infty$ and $x_n\in D(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2)$ with $D=\{D(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2\}\in\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X. $\{\mathcal{A}(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2\}\in\mathcal{D}$ is called a $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor for a cocycle φ in X (resp. in Y) over $(\Omega_1,\{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{F}_2,P,\{\vartheta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ if the following statements are satisfied:*

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is measurable in X with respect to the P-completion of $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2 and $\mathcal{A}(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ is compact in X (resp. in Y) for all $\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2$,

(ii) $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant, that is, for every $\omega_1\in\Omega_1,\omega_2\in\Omega_2$, $\varphi(t,\omega_1,\omega_2,\mathcal{A}(\omega_1,\omega_2))=\mathcal{A}(\vartheta_{1,t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,t}\omega_2)$ for all $t\geq 0$,

(iii) $\mathcal{A}$ attracts every element $D = \{D(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ in X (resp. in Y), that is, for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} dist_X(\varphi(t,\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2,D(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2)),\mathcal{A}(\omega_1,\omega_2)) = 0$$

$$(resp.\ \lim_{t\to+\infty} dist_Y(\varphi(t,\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2,D(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2)),\mathcal{A}(\omega_1,\omega_2)) = 0).$$

The following Theorem 2.6 is a useful tool to prove the existence of pullback attractors for SPDEs with both non-autonomous deterministic term and random term in a associated non-initial space. We need to assume that the spaces X and Y satisfy sequence limits uniqueness property:

(H2) *If $\{x_n\}_n \subset X\cap Y$ such that $x_n \to x$ in X and $x_n \to y$ in Y, respectively, then we have $x = y$.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of X which is inclusion closed. Suppose that φ is a continuous cocycle on the initial space X over $(\Omega_1,\{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{F}_2,P,\{\vartheta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$. Assume that*

(i) φ has a closed and measurable (w.r.t. the P-completion of $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2) $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set $K = \{K(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ in X;

(ii) φ is $\mathcal{D}$-pullback asymptotically compact in X. Then the cocycle φ has a unique $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A}_X = \{\mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2\}$ in X, which is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \cap_{s>0}\overline{\cup_{t\geq s}\varphi(t,\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2,K(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2))}^X,\quad \omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2, \tag{2.1}$$

where the closure is taken over in X.

If further (H1)-(H2) hold and φ is $\mathcal{D}$-pullback asymptotically compact in Y, then the cocycle φ has a unique $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A}_Y = \{\mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1,\omega_2);\omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ in Y, which is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \cap_{s>0}\overline{\cup_{t\geq s}\varphi(t,\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2,K(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2))}^Y,\quad \omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2, \tag{2.2}$$

where the closure is taken over in Y. In addition, we have $\mathcal{A}_Y = \mathcal{A}_X$, i.e., for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, $\mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1,\omega_2)$.

Proof The first result is stated in [24]. We only prove the second result. Indeed, (2.2) makes sense by using *(H1)*, and$\mathcal{A}_Y \neq \emptyset$ by the asymptotic compactness of the cocycle φ in Y. In the following, we show that $\mathcal{A}_Y$ is a unique $\mathcal{D}$-pullback attractor in Y.

Step 1. Since $\mathcal{A}_X$ is measurable in X (with respect to the P-completion of $\mathcal{F}_2$ in Ω_2) and $\mathcal{A}_X \in \mathcal{D}$ is invariant (the measurability of $\mathcal{A}_X$ is proved by Theorem 2.14 in [24]), then the measurability and invariance of $\mathcal{A}_Y$ can be proved by showing that $\mathcal{A}_Y = \mathcal{A}_X$. We have to prove that for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1,\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, $\mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1,\omega_2)$, where $\mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ defined in (2.1) and (2.2) respectively. Indeed, taking $x \in \mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1,\omega_2)$, by (2.1), there are $t_n \to +\infty$ and $x_n \in K(\vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2)$ such that

$$\varphi(t_n,\vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2,x_n) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\|\cdot\|_X} x. \tag{2.3}$$

Since φ is $\mathcal{D}$-asymptotically compact in Y, then there is a $y \in Y$ such that up to a subsequence (we still denote this by itself),

$$\varphi(t_n, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, x_n) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\|.\|_Y} y. \tag{2.4}$$

Then it follows from (2.2) that $y \in \mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. Then by *(H2)* and (2.3) and (2.4) we have $x = y \in \mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and thus $\mathcal{A}_X(\omega_1, \omega_2) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. The inverse inclusion can be proved similarly. Thus $\mathcal{A}_X = \mathcal{A}_Y$ as required.

Step 2. We prove the attraction of $\mathcal{A}_Y$ in Y by a contradiction argument. Indeed, if for fixed $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, there exist $\delta > 0$, $x_n \in D(\vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2)$ with $D = \{D(\omega_1, \omega_2); \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $t_n \to +\infty$ such that

$$\mathrm{dist}_Y\Big(\varphi(t_n, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, x_n), \mathcal{A}_Y(\tau, \omega)\Big) \geq \delta. \tag{2.5}$$

By the asymptotic compactness of φ in Y, there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that up to a subsequence,

$$\varphi(t_n, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, x_n) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\|.\|_Y} y_0. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, by our assumption (i), there exist a large time $T > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} y_n = \varphi(T, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, x_n) &= \varphi(T, \vartheta_{1,-T}\vartheta_{1,-t_n+T}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-T}\vartheta_{2,-t_n+T}\omega_2, x_n) \\ &\in K(\vartheta_{1,-t_n+T}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n+T}\omega_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Then by the cocycle property of φ, along with (2.6) and (2.7), we infer that as $n \to \infty$,

$$\varphi(t_n, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, x_n) = \varphi(t_n - T, \vartheta_{1,-t_n+T}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n+T}\omega_2, y_n) \to y_0 \quad \text{in } Y.$$

Therefore by (2.2), $y_0 \in \mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{dist}_Y\Big(\varphi(t_n, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, x_n), \mathcal{A}_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)\Big) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, which is a contradiction to (2.5).

Step 3. It remains to prove the compactness of A_Y in Y. Let $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $A_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. By the invariance of $A_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ which is proved in Step 1, we have

$$\varphi(t, \vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2, \mathcal{A}_Y(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2)) = \mathcal{A}(\omega_1, \omega_2).$$

Then it follows that there is a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{A}_Y(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2)$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$y_n = \varphi(t_n, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, z_n).$$

Note that $A_Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Then by the asymptotic compactness of φ in Y, $\{y_n\}$ has a convergence subsequence in Y, *i.e.*, there is a $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y_0 \ \text{ in } Y.$$

But $A_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is closed in Y, so $y_0 \in A_Y(\omega_1, \omega_2)$.

The uniqueness is easily followed by the attraction property of φ and $A_Y \in \mathcal{D}$. This completes the total proofs. □

Remark 2.7. (i) We emphasize that the assumption *(H1)* is necessary to guarantee that the closure of the set $\varphi(t, \vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2, K(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2))$ in Y makes sense for all $t > 0$, as in (2.2).

(ii) We emphasize that the attractor A_Y is measurable in X and completely structured by a closed and measurable $\mathcal{D}$-pullback absorbing set in the initial space X. Thus the existence of absorbing set in the non-initial space Y is unnecessary. This is different from the construction in [4].

(iii) The above Theorem 2.6 generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [16] regarding the bi-spatial random attractor which is suitable for the random dynamical system over one parametric space. Our result here is applicable to the SPDE with random noises and deterministic non-autonomous forcing.

In particular, if the initial space $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the associated non-initial space $Y = L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then we have an easy condition on the asymptotic compactness of the cocycle φ in the non-initial space $Y = L^r(\mathbb{R}^N), r > 2$. First we let $\mathcal{D}$ be the collection of some family of nonempty subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then we have

Proposition 2.8. *Assume that the cocycle φ is asymptotically compact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Given $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ and $D = \{D(\omega_1, \omega_2); \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$, suppose that for every $\eta > 0$, there are constants $M = M(\omega_1, \omega_2, D, \eta) > 0$ and $T = T(\omega_1, \omega_2, D) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{t\geq T}\sup_{u_0\in D(\vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1,\vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2))}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(|\varphi_t|\geq M)}|\varphi_t|^r dx \leq \eta,$$

where $\varphi_t = \varphi(t, \vartheta_{1,-t}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t}\omega_2, u_0)$. Then the cocycle φ is asymptotically compact in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is, for every $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, the sequence $\{\varphi(t, \vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2, u_{0,n})\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whenever $t_n \to +\infty$ and $u_{0,n} \in D(\vartheta_{1,-t_n}\omega_1, \vartheta_{2,-t_n}\omega_2) \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof The proof is very similar to the one parameter case, in which we can find the proof in [27, 14, 15] and so we omit it here. □

3. Non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with additive noises

In this section, we give the conditions on the functions f, g and h. We also give the parametric dynamical systems $(\Omega_1, \{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P, \{\vartheta_{2,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ respectively, and show the existence of continuous cocycle in the initial space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For the non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system (1.1), the nonlinearity $f(x, s)$ satisfies almost the same assumptions as in [2], *i.e.*, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x, s)s \leq -\alpha_1|s|^p + \psi_1(x), \tag{3.1}$$

$$|f(x, s)| \leq \alpha_2|s|^{p-1} + \psi_2(x), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}f(x, s) \leq \alpha_3, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Big|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}f(x, s)\Big| \leq \psi_3(x). \tag{3.4}$$

where $p > 2$, $\alpha_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are determined constants, $\psi_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, ψ_2 and $\psi_3 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The non-autonomous terms $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $h \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ satisfy that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta s}(\|g(s,.)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|h(s,.)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)})ds < +\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

where $\delta = \min\{\lambda, \sigma\}$, λ and σ are as in (1.1). The functions $h_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We remark that the assumption ψ_1 is essentially bounded is not required and then the plus and minus signs of the nonlinearity $f(x, s)$ at large value are unknown by condition (3.1).

For the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, we write $\Omega = \{\omega \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2); \omega(0) = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Borel σ-algebra induced by the compact-open topology of Ω and P be the corresponding Wiener measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. We define a shift operator ϑ on Ω by

$$\vartheta_t\omega(s) = \omega(s + t) - \omega(t), \text{ for every } \omega \in \Omega, t, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to show that ϑ_t is a measure preserving transformation on the given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Let $\{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a group on $\mathbb{R}$ given by $\vartheta_{1,t}(\tau) = \tau + t$ for all $\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $(\Omega_1, \{\vartheta_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ are the requested parametric dynamical systems.

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega$, we often write $\theta_t\omega = (\theta_t\omega_1, \theta_t\omega_2)$. Put

$$z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1) = -\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s}(\vartheta_t\omega_1)(s)ds; \quad z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2) = -\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\sigma s}(\vartheta_t\omega_2)(s)ds.$$

Then we have

$$dz_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1) + \lambda z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)dt = d\omega_1(t), \quad dz_2(\vartheta_t\omega_1) + \sigma z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_1)dt = d\omega_2(t).$$

By [3] we know that both $z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)$ and $z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2)$ are continuous in t on $\mathbb{R}$, and further both $z_1(\omega_1)$ and $z_2(\omega_1)$ are tempered.

Let $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ satisfy problem (1.1) and write

$$u(t, \tau, \omega, u_0) = \tilde{u}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{u}_0) - h_1 z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1) \text{ and } v(t, \tau, \omega, v_0) = \tilde{v}(t, \tau, \omega, \tilde{v}_0) - h_2 z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2). \tag{3.6}$$

Then (u, v) solves the follow non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system

$$\frac{du}{dt} + \lambda u - \Delta u + \alpha v = f(x, u + h_1 z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1) + g(t, x) + \Delta z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1) - \alpha h_2 z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + \sigma v - \beta u = h(t, x) + \beta h_1 z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1), \tag{3.8}$$

with the initial conditions $u_0 = \tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\vartheta_\tau\omega_1)$ and $v_0 = \tilde{v}_0 - h_2 z_2(\vartheta_\tau\omega_2)$.

It is known (see [2]) that for every $(u_0, v_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the problem (3.7)-(3.8) possesses a unique solution (u, v) such that $u \in C([\tau, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^2(\tau, T, H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L^p(\tau, T, L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $v \in C([\tau, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$. In addition, the solution (u, v) is continuous in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the initial value (u_0, v_0) . Then formally $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = (u + h_1 z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1), v + h_2 z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2))$ is the solution to problem (1.1) with the initial value $\tilde{u}_0 = u_0 + h_1 z_1(\vartheta_\tau\omega_1)$ and $\tilde{v_0} = v_0 + h_2 z_2(\vartheta_\tau\omega_2)$.

The continuous cocycle φ over $\mathbb{R}$ and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \{\vartheta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ is defined by

$$\varphi(t,\tau,\omega,(\tilde{u}_0,\tilde{v}_0)) = (\tilde{u}(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_0),\tilde{v}(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_0))$$
$$= (u(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_0)+h_1z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1), v(t+\tau,\tau,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_0)+h_2z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2)), \quad (3.9)$$

where $u_0 = \tilde{u}_0 - h_1z_1(\omega_1)$ and $v_0 = \tilde{v}_0 - h_2z_2(\omega_2)$.

Suppose that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} e^{-\delta t}\|D(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

where δ is as in (3.5). Denote by $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ the collection of all families of bounded nonempty subsets of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which satisfies (3.10). Then it is obvious that $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ is inclusion closed.

In fact, the existence of $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback attractors for the cocycle φ defined by (3.9) in the initial space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ was proved in [2].

Theorem 3.1([2]). *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then the cocycle φ has a unique $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback attractor $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega), \tau\in\mathbb{R}, \omega\in\Omega\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Here for every fixed $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\omega\in\Omega$, $\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega)$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega) = \bigcap_{s>0}\overline{\bigcup_{t\geq s}\varphi(t,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega,K(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega))}^{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad (3.11)$$

where K is a closed and measurable $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback absorbing set of φ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is stated by

$$K(\tau,\omega) = \{(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N); \|\tilde{u}\|^2+\|\tilde{v}\|^2 \leq \rho(\tau,\omega)\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\rho(\tau,\omega)$ is the random constant:

$$\rho(\tau,\omega) = c(1+|z_1(\omega_1)|^2+|z_2(\omega_2)|^2) + ce^{-\delta\tau}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta s}(\|g(s,.)\|^2+\|h(s,.)\|^2)ds$$
$$+ c\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta s}(|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^p+|z_2(\vartheta_s\omega_2)|^2)ds. \quad (3.13)$$

Here c is a deterministic positive constant.

In the sequel, we will prove that the set $\mathcal{A}$ defined by (3.11) is also a $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback attractor in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varpi\in(2,p]$ with $p>2$.

4. Existence of pullback random attractors in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$

In this section, we will establish the existence of $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback attractors in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)(\varpi\in(2,p])$ for the cocycle φ defined by (3.9). Actually, we will show that the family of obtained pullback attractors are the same one.

Note that we do not increase any restrictions on the nonlinearity $f(x,s)$ and non-autonomous terms g and h given in [2], except that $\psi_1\in L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as in (3.1). For our problem, the key point is prove that the unbounded part of the first component $\tilde{u}$ of solutions of (1.1) vanishes in L^p, where $p>2$.

Hereafter, we denote by $\|.\|$ and $\|.\|_p$ the norms in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)(p>2)$, respectively. Throughout this paper, the number c is a generic positive constant independent of τ,ω,D,η in any place.

Note that [2] has proved the following energy inequality (see the proof of Lemma 4.1 there):

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\alpha\|v\|^2+\beta\|u\|^2)+\delta(\alpha\|v\|^2+\beta\|u\|^2)+\frac{1}{2}\delta\alpha\|v\|^2+\alpha_1\beta\|u\|_p^p \\ \leq \frac{4\beta}{\lambda}\|g(t,.)\|^2+\frac{4\alpha}{\sigma}\|h(t,.)\|^2+c(|z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|^p+|z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2)|^2+1). \quad (4.1)$$

4.1. **Uniform estimates of solutions.** First we present a lemma which is sated in [2].

Lemma 4.1([2]). *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Let $\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega$ and $D=\{D(\tau,\omega);\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega\}\in\mathcal{D}_\delta$. Then there exists a constant $T=T(\tau,\omega,D)>0$ such that for all $t\geq T$, the solution (u,v) of problem (3.7)-(3.8) with ω replaced by $\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega$ satisfies*

$$\|u(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_0-h_1z_1(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_1)\|^2+\|v(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_0-h_2z_2(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_2)\|^2\leq R(\tau,\omega), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau}e^{\delta(s-\tau)}\Big(\|v(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_0-h_2z_2(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_2)\|^2+\|\tilde{u}(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_0)\|_p^p\Big)ds\leq R(\tau,\omega), \quad (4.3)$$

where $(\tilde{u}_0,\tilde{v}_0)\in D_\delta(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ and

$$R(\tau,\omega)=c+ce^{-\delta\tau}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau}e^{\delta s}(\|g(s,.)\|^2+\|h(s,.)\|^2)ds \\ +c\int_{-\infty}^{0}e^{\delta s}(|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^{2p-2}+|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^p+|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^2+|z_2(\vartheta_s\omega_2)|^2)ds. \quad (4.4)$$

In fact, for our problem we need further to show that (4.2) holds in the compact interval $[\tau-1.\tau]$. In particular, we have

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Let $\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega$ and $D=\{D(\tau,\omega);\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega\}\in\mathcal{D}_\delta$. Then there exists a constant $T=T(\tau,\omega,D)\geq 2$ such that for all $t\geq T$, the first component of the solution of problem (3.7)-(3.8) satisfies that for $\xi\in[\tau-1,\tau]$,*

$$\|u(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_0-h_1z_1(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_1)\|^2+\|v(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_0-h_2z_2(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_2)\|^2\leq R(\tau,\omega),$$

where $(\tilde{u}_0,\tilde{v}_0)\in D_\delta(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ and $R(\tau,\omega)$ is as in (4.4) only with a difference in the constant c.

Proof We first let $t\geq 2$ and $\xi\in[\tau-1,\tau]$. Replacing t by s in (4.1) and multiplying by $e^{\delta(s-\tau)}$ and then integrating with respect to s over $(\tau-t,\xi)$ we deduce that, along with ω replaced by $\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega$,

$$e^{-\delta}(\beta\|u(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{u}_0-h_1z_1(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_1)\|^2+\alpha\|v(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,\tilde{v}_0-h_2z_2(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_2)\|^2)$$

$$\leq \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}(\frac{4\beta}{\lambda}\|g(s,.)\|^2+\frac{4\alpha}{\sigma}\|h(s,.)\|^2)ds$$

$$+c\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}(|z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^p+|z_2(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_2)|^2+1)ds+e^{-\delta t}(\beta\|u_0\|^2+\alpha\|v_0\|^2)$$

$$\leq ce^{-\delta\tau}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta s}(\|g(s,.)\|^2+\|h(s,.)\|^2)ds$$

$$+c\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta s}(|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^p+|z_2(\vartheta_s\omega_2)|^2+1)ds+ce^{-\delta t}(\|\tilde{u}_0\|^2+\|\tilde{v}_0\|^2)$$

$$+ce^{-\delta t}(\|z_1(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_1)\|^2+\|z_2(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_2)\|^2). \tag{4.5}$$

Note that $z_1(\omega_1)$ and $z_2(\omega_2)$ are tempered and $(\tilde{u}_0,\tilde{v}_0)\in D(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega)$. Then (4.5) implies the desired. □

A result on the uniform L^p-estimate of the first component of solution of problem (3.7)-(3.8) on the compact interval $[\tau-1.\tau]$ is also needed to show the uniform small of the unbounded part of solution in L^p topology.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Let $\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega$ and $D=\{D(\tau,\omega);\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega\}\in\mathcal{D}_\delta$. Then there exists a constant $T=T(\tau,\omega,D)\geq 2$ such that for all $t\geq T$, the first component of the solution of problem (3.7)-(3.8) satisfies that for $\xi\in[\tau-1,\tau]$,*

$$\|u(\xi,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_0)\|_p^p\leq R(\tau,\omega),$$

where $(\tilde{u}_0,\tilde{v}_0)\in D_\delta(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ and $R(\tau,\omega)$ is as in (4.4) only with a difference in the constant c.

Proof Multiplying (3.7) by $|u|^{p-2}u$ and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_p^p+\lambda\|u\|_p^p\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,\tilde{u})|u|^{p-2}udx-\alpha\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v|u|^{p-1}udx$$
$$+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(t,x)|u|^{p-2}udx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\Delta h_1z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)-\alpha h_2z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2))|u|^{p-2}udx. \tag{4.6}$$

By using (3.1) and (3.2) it infer us that

$$f(x,\tilde{u})u\leq-\frac{\alpha_1}{2^p}|u|^p+c|h_1z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|^p+\psi_1(x)+\psi_2(x)|h_1z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|,$$

from which and by using Young inequality we deduce that

$$f(x,\tilde{u})u^{p-2}u\leq-\frac{\alpha_1}{2^{p+1}}|u|^{2p-2}+\frac{\lambda}{2}u^p+c|h_1z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|^{2p-2}+c\psi_1(x)^{p/2}+c|\psi_2(x)|^2.$$

Then by $\psi \in L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\psi_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get the estimate of the nonlinearity in (4.6),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,\tilde{u})u^{p-2}udx \le -\frac{\alpha_1}{2^{p+1}}\|u\|_{2p-2}^{2p-2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|u\|_p^p + c|z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|^{2p-2} + c. \tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, by using Young inequality again we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v|u|^{p-1}udx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(t,x)|u|^{p-2}udx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Delta h_1 z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1) - \alpha h_2 z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2))|u|^{p-2}udx\\
&\le \frac{\alpha_1}{2^{p+1}}\|u\|_{2p-2}^{2p-2} + c(\|v\|^2 + \|g(t,.)\|^2 + |z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|^2 + |z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2)|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Then combination (4.6)-(4.8), we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_p^p + \delta\|u\|_p^p \le c(\|v\|^2 + \|g(t,.)\|^2 + |z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|^{2p-2} + |z_1(\vartheta_t\omega_1)|^2 + |z_2(\vartheta_t\omega_2)|^2 + 1), \tag{4.9}$$

where $\delta = \min\{\lambda, \sigma\}$. We first replace t by s in (4.9). Then by applying Gronwwall lemma (see also Lemma 5.1 in [26]) over the interval $[\tau - t, \xi]$ for $t \ge 2$ and $\xi \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$, we find that, along with ω replaced by $\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u(\xi, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)\|_p^p\\
&\le \frac{e^\delta}{\xi - \tau + t}\int_{\tau-t}^{\xi} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}\|u(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_1)\|_p^p ds\\
&+ c\int_{\tau-t}^{\xi} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}\|v(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{v}_0 - h_2 z_2(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_2))\|^2 ds + c\int_{\tau-t}^{\xi} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}\|g(s,.)\|^2 ds\\
&+ c\int_{\tau-t}^{\xi} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}(|z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{2p-2} + |z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^2 + |z_2(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_2)|^2 + 1)ds\\
&\le c\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}\|\tilde{u}(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0)\|_p^p ds\\
&+ c\int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{\delta(s-\tau)}\|v(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{v}_0 - h_2 z_2(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_2))\|^2 ds + ce^{-\delta\tau}\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta s}\|g(s,.)\|^2 ds\\
&+ c\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\delta s}(|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^{2p-2} + |z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^p + |z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^2 + |z_2(\vartheta_s\omega_2)|^2)ds + c,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where we have used that $\frac{1}{\xi-\tau+t} \le 1$ for $t \ge 2$. Then by applying (4.3) to (4.10), we find that we have got the desired. □

Remark: A similar calculation gives us that $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which implies that for every $t > 0, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ and $t > \tau$, the mapping $\varphi(t, \tau, \omega, .) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \mapsto L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This demonstrate that the cocycle φ defined by (3.9) satisfies condition *(H1)*.

Let u be the first component of solutions of problem (3.7)-(3.8). Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$, let $M = M(\tau, \omega) > 0$ and

$$\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)| \geq M) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)| \geq M|\}.$$

Then we have

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ and $D = \{D(\tau, \omega); \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$. Then for any $\eta > 0$, there exist constants $M = M(\tau, \omega, \eta) > 0$ and $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \geq 2$ such that for all $t \geq T$, the first component u of solution (u, v) of problem (3.7)-(3.8) satisfies*

$$meas(\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\omega_1)| \geq M) \leq \eta,$$

where meas(.) is the measure of a set and $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)$.

Proof By (4.2), there exist positive constants $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) > 0$ and $R = R(\tau, \omega)$ such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\|u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_1)\|^2 \leq R(\tau, \omega), \tag{4.11}$$

where $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)$. Then for any $M > 0$ and $|u(\tau)| = |u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\omega_1)| \geq M$, by using (4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &M^2 meas(\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\omega_1)| \geq M) \\ &\leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau)| \geq M)} |u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{-t}\omega_1)|^2 dx \leq R(\tau, \omega). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By choosing $M = M(\tau, \omega, \eta) > (\frac{R(\tau,\omega)}{\eta})^{1/2}$, we get the result from (4.12). This ends the proof. □

To prove the following lemma, we need to introduce some notations. We will use a truncated version of the solution, $u - M$. Define

$$(u - M)_+ = \begin{cases} u - M, & u > M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The next lemma will show that the unbounded part of the absolute value $|u|$ vanishes in L^p-norm on the state domain $\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)| \geq M)$ for M large enough.

Lemma 4.5. Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$ and $D = \{D(\tau, \omega); \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$. Then for any $\eta > 0$, there exist constants $M = M(\tau, \omega, \eta, D) > 1$ and $T = T(\tau, \omega, D) \geq 2$ such that the first component $\tilde{u}$ of solutions $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ of problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\sup_{t \geq T} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}(\tau)| \geq M)} |\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0)|^p dx \leq \eta,$$

where $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)$ and $\mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}(\tau)| \geq M) = \mathbb{R}^N(|\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0)| \geq M)$.

Proof Given $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$, by replacing ω by $\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega$ in (3.7) we get that

$$u = u(s) =: u(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0), v = v(s) =: v(s, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, v_0) \quad s \in [\tau - 1, \tau],$$

satisfy the following differential equations:

$$\frac{du}{ds} + \lambda u - \Delta u + \alpha v = f(x, \tilde{u}) + g(s, x) + \Delta h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1) - \alpha h_2 z_2(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_2), \tag{4.13}$$

where $(u_0, v_0) = (\tilde{u}_0 - h_1 z_1(\omega_1), \tilde{v}_0 - h_2 z_2(\omega_2))$ with $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \in D(\tau - t, \vartheta_{-t}\omega)$.

For fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we write

$$Z = Z(\tau, \omega) = \max_{s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]} \{\|h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}, \quad M = M(\tau, \omega) > \max\{1, Z\}. \tag{4.14}$$

We multiply (4.13) by $(u - M)_+^{p-1}$ and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^N$ to get that for every $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{p}\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u - M)_+^p dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(u - M)_+^{p-1} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u (u - M)_+^{p-1} dx \\
&= -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(u - M)_+^{p-1} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, \tilde{u})(u - M)_+^{p-1} dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(s, x)(u - M)_+^{p-1} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Delta h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1) - \alpha h_2 z_2(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_2))(u - M)_+^{p-1} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We now have to estimate every term in (4.15). First, it is obvious that

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u (u - M)_+^{p-1} dx = (p - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u - M)_+^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq 0, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(u - M)_+^{p-1} dx \geq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u - M)_+^p dx. \tag{4.17}$$

The most involved calculations are to estimate the nonlinearity in (4.15). Note that

$$|\tilde{u}(s)|^{p-1} \geq 2^{2-p}|u(s)|^{p-1} - |h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{p-1}, \tag{4.18}$$

and by (4.14), if $u(s) > M$ then we have for all $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$,

$$\tilde{u}(s) = u(s) + h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1) \geq u(s) - |h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)| \geq u(s) - M > 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Then by (3.1) and along with (4.18)-(4.19), we find that for $s \in [\tau - 1, \tau]$ and $u(s) > M$,

$$f(x, \tilde{u}) \leq -\alpha_1 |\tilde{u}|^{p-1} + \frac{1}{\tilde{u}}\psi_1(x) \leq -\alpha_1 2^{2-p}|u|^{p-1} + \alpha_1 |h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{p-1} + \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\tilde{u}}. \tag{4.20}$$

Then by (4.20) and along with (4.19) we get that for $u(s) > M$,

$$f(x, \tilde{u}) \leq -\alpha_1 2^{2-p}|u|^{p-1} + \alpha_1 |h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{p-1} + |\psi_1(x)|(u - M)^{-1}$$

$$\leq -\alpha_1 2^{1-p} M^{p-2}(u-M) - \alpha_1 2^{1-p}(u-M)^{p-1}$$
$$+ \alpha_1 |h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{p-1} + |\psi_1(x)|(u-M)^{-1},$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,\tilde{u})(u-M)_+^{p-1}dx$$
$$\leq -\alpha_1 2^{1-p} M^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^p dx - \alpha_1 2^{1-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^{2p-2} dx$$
$$+ \alpha_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{p-1}(u-M)_+^{p-1}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_1(x)|(u-M)_+^{p-2}dx. \quad (4.21)$$

Since $h_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Sobolev interpolation, $h_1 \in L^{2p-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then by using Young inequality we have

$$\alpha_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{p-1}(u-M)_+^{p-1}dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 2^{1-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^{2p-2}dx + c|z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{2p-2}, \quad (4.22)$$

and by $\psi_1 \in L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_1(x)|(u-M)_+^{p-2}dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^p dx + c\|\psi_1\|_{p/2}^{p/2}. \quad (4.23)$$

Combination (4.21)-(4.23) we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,\tilde{u})(u-M)_+^{p-1}dx$$
$$\leq -\alpha_1 2^{1-p} M^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^p dx - \alpha_1 2^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^{2p-2} dx$$
$$+ c|z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{2p-2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^p dx + c\|\psi_1\|_{p/2}^{p/2}. \quad (4.24)$$

On the other hand, by using Young inequality, we also get that

$$-\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(u-M)_+^{p-1}dx \leq \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1 2^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^{2p-2}dx + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(u(s)\geq M)} v^2 dx, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(s,x)(u-M)_+^{p-1}dx \leq \frac{1}{8}\alpha_1 2^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^{2p-2}dx + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(u\geq M)} g^2(s,x)dx, \quad (4.26)$$

and by $h_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Delta h_1 z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1) - \alpha h_2 z_2(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_2))(u-M)_+^{p-1}dx$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{8}\alpha_1 2^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-M)_+^{2p-2}dx + c(|z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^2 + |z_2(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_2)|^2). \quad (4.27)$$

Then Combination (4.15)-(4.17) and (4.24)-(4.27), we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u(s)-M)_+^p dx+\alpha_1 2^{1-p}M^{p-2}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u(s)-M)_+^p dx\le c(\|g(s,.)\|^2+\|v(s)\|^2)$$
$$+c(\|\psi_1\|_{p/2}^{p/2}+|z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^{2p-2}+|z_1(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_1)|^2+|z_2(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega_2)|^2), \quad (4.28)$$

where the positive constant c is independent of τ,ω and M. For convenience, we put

$$k=k(\tau,\omega,M)=2^{1-p}M^{p-2},$$

and

$$\chi(\vartheta_s\omega)=c(\|\psi_1\|_{p/2}^{p/2}+|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^{2p-2}+|z_1(\vartheta_s\omega_1)|^2+|z_2(\vartheta_s\omega_2)|^2).$$

Then we write (4.28) as

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u(s)-M)_+^p dx+k\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u(s)-M)_+^p dx\le c(\|g(s,.)\|^2+\|v(s)\|^2)+\chi(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega), \quad (4.29)$$

where $s\in[\tau-1,\tau]$. Multiplying (4.29) by $e^{k(s-\tau)}$ and integrating first with respect to s over $[\sigma,\tau]$ for $\sigma\le\tau$ and then with respect to σ over $[\tau-1,\tau]$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Big(u(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_0)-M\Big)_+^p dx\\
&\le\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Big(u(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_0)-M\Big)_+^p dxds\\
&+c\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}(\|g(s,.)\|^2+\|v(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_0)\|^2)ds+\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}\chi(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega)ds\\
&\le\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}(\|u(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_0)\|_p^p+\|v(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_0)\|^2)ds\\
&+c\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}\|g(s,.)\|^2ds+\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}\chi(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega)ds\\
&=I_1+I_2+I_3, \quad (4.30)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1=\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}(\|u(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_0)\|_p^p+\|v(s,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,v_0)\|^2)ds,$$

$$I_2=c\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}\|g(s,.)\|^2ds,$$

and

$$I_3=\int\limits_{\tau-1}^{\tau}e^{k(s-\tau)}\chi(\vartheta_{s-\tau}\omega)ds.$$

We now calculate that I_1, I_2 and I_3 are not larger than $\eta/3$ as $M \to +\infty$, respectively. By Lemma 4.2 and 4.3, for every fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ there exists $T_1 = T_1(\tau, \omega, D) \geq 2$ such that for all $t \geq T_1$,

$$I_1 \leq 2R(\tau,\omega) \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} ds \leq \frac{2R(\tau,\omega)}{k} \leq \eta/3, \tag{4.31}$$

as M large enough, since $k \to +\infty$ as $M \to +\infty$. In order to estimate I_2, choosing $k > \delta$ and taking $\varsigma \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= c \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau-\varsigma} e^{k(s-\tau)} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds + c \int_{\tau-\varsigma}^{\tau} e^{k(s-\tau)} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds \\ &= ce^{-k\tau} \int_{\tau-1}^{\tau-\varsigma} e^{(k-\delta)s} e^{\delta s} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds + ce^{-k\tau} \int_{\tau-\varsigma}^{\tau} e^{ks} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq ce^{-k\varsigma} e^{\delta(\varsigma-\tau)} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\delta s} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds + c \int_{\tau-\varsigma}^{\tau} \|g(s,.)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.5), the first term above vanishes as $k \to +\infty$, and by $g \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ we can choose ς small enough such that the second term is small. Then when $M \to +\infty$, we have

$$I_2 \leq \eta/3. \tag{4.32}$$

To estimate I_3, we see that

$$I_3 = \int_{-1}^{0} e^{ks} \chi(\vartheta_s\omega) ds = \max_{0\leq s\leq 1} \chi(\vartheta_s\omega) \frac{1}{k} \leq \eta/3, \tag{4.33}$$

as k large enough. Then from (4.30)-(4.33), there exists $M_1 = M_1(\tau, \omega, \eta, D) > 0$ large enough such that

$$\sup_{t\geq T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(u(\tau, \tau-t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0) - M_1\Big)_+^p dx \leq \eta, \tag{4.34}$$

where T_1 is as in (4.31). If $u(\tau, \tau-t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0) \geq 2M_1$, then $u(\tau, \tau-t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0) - M_1 \geq \frac{u(\tau,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-\tau}\omega,u_0)}{2}$, and therefore by (4.34) it infer us that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t\geq T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau)\geq 2M_1)} |u(\tau, \tau-t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)|^p dx \\ &\qquad \leq \sup_{t\geq T_1} 2^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(u(\tau, \tau-t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0) - M_1\Big)_+^p dx \leq c\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

On the other hand, since by Sobolev interpolation we know that $h_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there is a small constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $meas(E) < \epsilon$,

$$\int_E |h_1(x)|^p ds \leq \frac{\eta}{|z_1(\omega_1)|^p}. \tag{4.36}$$

But by Lemma 4.4, there exist positive constants $M_2 = M_2(\tau, \omega, \eta) > M_1$ and $T_2 = T_2(\tau, \omega, D) > T_1$ such that

$$\sup_{t\geq T_2} meas(\mathbb{R}^N(|u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)| \geq 2M_2)) \leq \min\{\eta, \epsilon\}. \tag{4.37}$$

Then it follows from (4.36)-(4.37) that

$$\sup_{t\geq T_2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau)\geq 2M_2)} |h_1 z_1(\omega_1)|^p dx \leq \eta. \tag{4.38}$$

On the other hand, by (4.35) we get that

$$\sup_{t\geq T_2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau)\geq 2M_2)} |u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)|^p dx \leq c\eta. \tag{4.39}$$

By taking advantage of the relation $\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0) = u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0) + h_1 z(\omega_1)$ and association with (4.14) we can deduce that

$$\mathbb{R}^N(\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0) \geq 2M_2 + Z) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0) \geq 2M_2),$$

from which it implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\geq T_2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(\tilde{u}(\tau)\geq 2M_2+Z)} |\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0)|^p dx \\
&\quad \leq \sup_{t\geq T_2} 2^{p-1} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau)\geq 2M_2)} |u(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, u_0)|^p dx \\
&\qquad + \sup_{t\geq T_2} 2^{p-1} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(u(\tau)\geq 2M_2)} |h_1 z(\omega_1)|^p dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

Therefore combination (4.38)-(4.40), we find that

$$\sup_{t\geq T_2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(\tilde{u}(\tau)\geq 2M_2+Z)} |\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0)|^p dx \leq c\eta. \tag{4.41}$$

Similarly, we can deduce that there exists $\tilde{M}_2 = \tilde{M}_2(\tau, \omega, \eta, D) > 0$ and $\tilde{T}_2 = \tilde{T}_2(\tau, \omega, D)$ large enough such that

$$\sup_{t\geq \tilde{T}_2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N(\tilde{u}(\tau)\leq -2\tilde{M}_2-Z)} |\tilde{u}(\tau, \tau - t, \vartheta_{-\tau}\omega, \tilde{u}_0)|^p dx \leq c\eta. \tag{4.42}$$

Then (4.41) and (4.42) together imply the desired. □

4.2. **Existence of pullback random attractors in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.** Note that the existence of pullback attractor in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is prove in [2], which is stated by Theorem 3.1 in Section 3. In this subsection, we will prove that this also happens in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varpi \in (2, p]$. By Theorem 2.6, it remains to prove the asymptotic compactness of solutions of problem (1.1) in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varpi \in (2, p]$, in which case we need to assume that $p > 2$.

First the following lemma is borrowed from [2].

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then the cocycle φ associated with problem (1.1) is $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-asymptotically compact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$; that is, for every $\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega$, the sequence $\{\varphi(t_n,\tau-t_n,\vartheta_{-t_n}\omega,(\tilde{u}_{0,n},\tilde{v}_{0,n}))\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whenever $t_n\to+\infty$ and $(\tilde{u}_{0,n},\tilde{v}_{0,n})\in D(\tau-t_n,\vartheta_{-t_n}\omega)\in\mathcal{D}_\delta$.*

By Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 4.5 and 4.6, we deduce the following, which reads

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then the cocycle φ associated with problem (1.1) is $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-asymptotically compact in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$; that is, for every $\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega$, the sequence $\{\varphi(t_n,\tau-t_n,\vartheta_{-t_n}\omega,(\tilde{u}_{0,n},\tilde{v}_{0,n}))\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whenever $t_n\to+\infty$ and $(\tilde{u}_{0,n},\tilde{v}_{0,n})\in D(\tau-t_n,\vartheta_{-t_n}\omega)\in\mathcal{D}_\delta$.*

Then by Sobolev interpolation and Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we immediately have

Lemma 4.8. *Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold. Then the cocycle φ associated with problem (1.1) is $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback asymptotically compact in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varpi\in(2,p]$; that is, for every $\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega$, and $\varpi\in(2,p]$, the sequence $\{\varphi(t_n,\tau-t_n,\vartheta_{-t_n}\omega,(\tilde{u}_{0,n},\tilde{v}_{0,n}))\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ whenever $t_n\to+\infty$ and $(\tilde{u}_{0,n},\tilde{v}_{0,n})\in D(\tau-t_n,\vartheta_{-t_n}\omega)\in\mathcal{D}_\delta$.*

By Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.8, and Theorem 2.6, we get readily the following result, which implies that the obtained $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback random attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact and attracting in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varpi\in(2,p]$ with $p>2$.

Theorem 4.9. Assume that (3.1)-(3.5) hold and $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$ is defined by (3.10). Then the cocycle φ associated with problem (1.1) possesses a unique $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback random attractor $\mathcal{A}_\varpi=\{\mathcal{A}_\varpi(\tau,\omega);\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega\}\in\mathcal{D}_\delta$ in $L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varpi\in(2,p]$. Furthermore, for every $\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\varpi(\tau,\omega)&=\cap_{s>0}\overline{\cup_{t\geq s}\varphi(t,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega,K(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega))}^{L^\varpi(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\&=\cap_{s>0}\overline{\cup_{t\geq s}\varphi(t,\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega,K(\tau-t,\vartheta_{-t}\omega))}^{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}=\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega),\end{aligned}\tag{4.43}$$

where $K=\{K(\tau,\omega);\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega\}$ and $\mathcal{A}=\{\mathcal{A}(\tau,\omega);\tau\in\mathbb{R},\omega\in\Omega\}$ are the $\mathcal{D}_\delta$-pullback attractor and pullback absorbing set of φ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined as in (3.11) and (3.12), respectively.

Acknowledgments:

We are appreciated to Yangrong Li for his warm discussions and useful suggestions

This work was supported by Chongqing Basis and Frontier Research Project of China (No. cstc2014jcyjA00035) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271388).

References

[1] A. Adili, B. Wang, Random attractors for non-autonomous stochasitic FitzHugh-Nagumo systems with multiplicative noise, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., Supplement, (2013)1-10.

[2] A. Adili, B. Wang, Random attractors for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo systems driven by deterministic non-autonomous forcing, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., Ser. B, 18(3)(2013)643-666.

[3] L. Arnold, Random Dynamical System, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[4] T. Q. Bao, Regularity of pullback random attractors for stochasitic FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., 35(1)(2015)441-466.

[5] P. W. Bates, K. Lu, B. Wang, Random attractors for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbouned domains, J. Differetial Equations, 246(2)(2009)845-869.

[6] H. Crauel, F. Flandoli, Attracors for random dynamical systems, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 100(1994)365-393.

[7] H. Crauel, A. Debussche, F. Flandoli, Random attractors, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 9(1997)307-341.

[8] R. FitzHugh, Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane, Biophys. J., 1(1961)445-466.

[9] F. Flandoli, B. Schmalfuß, Random attractors for the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with multiplicative noise, Stoch. Stoch. Rep., 59(1996)21-45.

[10] A. Gu, Y. Li and J. Li, Random attractor for stochastic lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo system driven by α-stable Lvy noises, Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos, 24(10)(2014)1450123.

[11] A. Gu, Y. Li, Singleton sets random attractor for stochastic FitzHughCNagumo lattice equations driven by fractional Brownian motions, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat., 19(2014)3929-3937

[12] J. Huang. The random attractor of stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo equations in an infinite lattice with white noises, Physica D, 233(2007)83-94.

[13] C. Jones, Stability of the traveling wave solution of the FitzHugh-Nagumo System, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 286(1984)431-469.

[14] Y. Li, H. Cui, J. Li, Upper semi-continuity and regularity of random attractors on p-times integrable spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal., 109(2014)33-44.

[15] Y. Li, B. Guo, Random attractors for quasi-continuous random dynamical systems and applications to stochastic reaction-diffusion equations, J. Differential Equations, 245(2008)1775-1800.

[16] Y. Li, A. Gu, J. Li, Existences and continuity of bi-spatial random attractors and application to stochasitic semilinear Laplacian equations, J. Differential Equations, 258(2)(2015)504-534.

[17] J. Li, Y. Li, B. Wang, Random attractors of reaction-diffusion equations with multiplicative noise in L^p, Applied. Math. Compu., 215 (2010)3399-3407.

[18] Y. Lu, Z. Shao, Determining nodes for partly dissipative reaction diffusion systems, Nonlinear Anal., 54(5)(2003)873-884.

[19] M. Marion, Finite-dimensional attractors associated with partly disspativen reaction-diffusion systems, SIAM, J. Math. Anal., 20(1989)818-844.

[20] J. Nagumo, S. Arimoto and S. Yosimzawa, An active pulse transimission line simulating nerve axon, Proc. J. R. E., 50(1964)2061-2070.

[21] B. Schmalfuss, Backward cocycle and attractors of stochastic differential equations, in: V. Reitmann, T. Riedrich, N. Koksch (Eds.), International Seminar on Applied Mathematics-Nonlinear Dynamics: Attractor Approximation and Global Behavior, Technische Universität, Dresden, 1992, pp: 185-192.

[22] Z. Shao, Existence and continuity of strong solutions of partly dissipative reaction diffusion systems, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Systs., Supplement, (2011)1319-1328.

[23] B. Wang, Random attractors for the FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains, Nonlinear Anal., 71(7-8)(2009)2811-2828.

[24] B. Wang, Suffcient and necessary criteria for existence of pullback attractors for non-compact random dynamical systems, J. Differential Equations, 253(2012)1544-1583.

[25] J. Yin, Y. Li, H. Zhao, Random attractors for stochastic semi-linear degenerate parabolic equations with additive noise in L^q, Appl. Math. Compu., 225(2013)526-540.

[26] W. Zhao, Regularity of random attractors for a degenerate parabolic equations driven by additive noises, Appl. Math. Compu., 239(2014)358-374.

[27] W. Zhao, Y. Li, (L^2, L^p)-random attractors for stochastic reaction-diffusion equation on unbounded domains, Nonlinear Anal., 75(2)(2012)485-502.

[28] W. Zhao, Y. Li, Random attractors for stochasitc semi-linear dengerate parabolic equations with additive noise, Dynamics of Partial Differential Equations, 11(3)(2014)269-298.
[29] C. Zhong, M. Yang, C. Sun, The existence of global attractors for the norm-to-weak continuous semigroup and its application to the nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations, J. Differential Equations, 223(2006)367-399.

Wenqiang Zhao
School Of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Technology and Business University, Chongqing 400067, China
E-mail address: gshzhao@sina.com